1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Carl J. Oreskovich, WSBA #12779 Andrew M. Wagley, WSBA #50007 Etter, McMahon, Lamberson, Van Wert & Oreskovich, P.C. 618 West Riverside Avenue, Suite 210 Spokane, WA 99201 (509) 747-9100 (509) 623-1439 Fax Email: carl@ettermcmahon.com Email: awagley@ettermcmahon.com	
11	Attorneys for Defendant Ronald Craig Ilg	
12 13 14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
16 17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:21-cr-00049-WFN
18 19 20 21	vs. RONALD CRAIG ILG,	UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND ASSOCIATED PRETRIAL DEADLINES
22	KOWED CKING ILG,	DEADLINES
23 24	Defendant.	Without Oral Argument: September 17, 2021 at 6:30 pm
25		
2627	<u>MOTION</u>	
28 29	COMES NOW, Defendant Ronald Craig Ilg, MD ("Dr. Ilg"), by and	
30 31	through his attorneys of record, Carl J. Oreskovich and Andrew M. Wagley of	
32	Etter, McMahon, Lamberson, Van Wert & Oreskovich, P.C., and hereby	

submits the following Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial Date and Pretrial Deadlines. As indicated fully below, Dr. Ilg respectfully requests the Court set a new trial date in March 2022 and continue all associated pretrial deadlines. This Motion is based upon the following Memorandum of Law, the accompanying Declaration of Andrew M. Wagley, and the files and records herein.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

The Speedy Trial Act provides, in pertinent part, "the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1). Both the defendant and the public have an interest in a speedy trial. *United States v. Lloyd*, 125 F.3d 1263, 1268 (9th Cir. 1997).

Section 3161(h)(7)(A) allows the Court to grant a continuance when "the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Congress has specified various factors for the Court to determine when

 analyzing the "ends of justice" for a continuance, including in pertinent part:

(ii) Whether the case is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by this section.

. . .

(iv) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in a case which, taken as a whole, is not so unusual or so complex as to fall within clause (ii), would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, would unreasonably deny the defendant or the Government continuity of counsel, or would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), (iv).

In the situation at hand, a continuance is warranted based upon the complex nature of this matter and the need for counsel to adequately prepare for trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), (iv). Dr. Ilg is indicted on one count of attempted kidnapping, contrary to 18 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(1), (d). (*See* ECF No. 22.) The United States alleges that Dr. Ilg "was utilizing the moniker 'Scar 215' and communicating with various administrators/representatives from dark-web sites to hire someone to harm two individuals in Spokane, Washington." (ECF No. 1 at 4.) Dr. Ilg has been in pretrial custody since approximately April 16, 2021.

(See ECF No. 12.) Defense counsel entered a formal appearance on April 19, 2021. (ECF No. 6.)

This is a complex matter involving a multitude of discovery regarding the dark web, cryptocurrency, and the forensic analysis of various electronic devices. To date, the United States had produced three batches of discovery, which includes hundreds of pages of documents, multiple media (including witness interviews) and native files, various search warrants, and multiple cell phone extractions. As such, the defense needs more time to analyze this discovery and pursue expert witnesses in various areas as applicable. Defense counsel would not have a sufficient amount of time reasonably necessary for effective preparation if a continuance is not granted. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Furthermore, complex aspects of this case involving the dark web and cryptocurrency will likely present various novel questions of law in relation to pretrial motions. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

This case has only been the subject of one prior continuance. (*See* ECF No. 37.) Defense counsel has corresponded with Assistant United States Attorney Richard R. Barker, who is in agreement with this continuance request and a new trial date in March 2022. As such, a continuance is warranted.